

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

McCorle  
Plaintiff/Complainant,

Vs.

Law/Fiduciary/Chancery No. CJ22-4439

Enickson Senior Living, LLC, et al  
Defendant/Respondent,

ORDER

This case came to be heard on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023 on the  
Plaintiff's / Complainant's / Defendant's / Respondent's motion Motion In Limine - State Inspections,  
Upon the matters presented to the Court at the Hearing it is, Plans of Correction, and/or Prior  
Bad Acts.

ADJUDGED, ORDERED, and DECREED as follows:

Defendants' Motion as to 2017, 2018, and 2019 is GRANTED as the  
Court finds they are not relevant to liability or punitive damages.  
It is further Ordered that Defendants' Motion ~~as to~~ <sup>JMF</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>JMF</sup> ~~February 22, 2021~~ <sup>JMF</sup> ~~inspection~~ <sup>JMF</sup> is GRANTED in part  
and DENIED in part. The section related to Standard #  
22VAC40-73-460-D is relevant provided there is sufficient  
evidentiary foundation. The remainder of the inspection  
and ~~the~~ <sup>JMF</sup> corresponding Plan of Correction is excluded.

Entered, this 10 day of Feb, 2023

[Signature]

Seen and objected to  
see attach  
Counsel for Plaintiff/ Complainant

Seen and objected to as to the denial for  
the reasons in Motion and oral argument  
Junia L. Hage  
Counsel for Defendant / Respondent

C. for Atty for D - 2/10/23

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

McLorkle  
Plaintiff/Complainant,

Vs.

Law/Fiduciary/Chancery No. CL 22-4439

Ericksen  
Defendant/Respondent,

Plaintiff seen and objected to:

**ORDER**

Plaintiff objects to the 2/10/22 survey

This case came to be heard on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_ on the

Plaintiff's / Complainant's / Defendant's / Respondent's motion \_\_\_\_\_

Upon the matters presented to the Court at the Hearing it is,

ADJUDGED, ORDERED, and DECREED as follows:

The Court's ruling effectively precludes the calling of the surveyor who performed the 2/22/21 survey from testifying without giving Plaintiff the opportunity to lay a foundation

The Court's ruling prevents Plaintiff from proving certification and concealment, and limits Plaintiff's proof on

punitive damages. The Court failed to consider contract issues, as survey findings on not responding to call bells was

Entered, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

relevant to contract, negligence and consumer protection claims.

Seen and objected to \_\_\_\_\_:

Seen and \_\_\_\_\_:

[Signature]  
Counsel for Plaintiff/Complainant

[Signature]  
Counsel for Defendant / Respondent